

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 20, 2000 LB 289

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. Up front, let me say I'm going to explain this amendment, but I'm then going to ask you to vote red on this amendment, and I would like to give you a little information about why. I didn't think that we would probably be taking this bill up this year. It's been sitting here on General File for some time, and it appeared that we were going to have an outside source or an outside association collect this data for us. And I don't think that's working as we thought it would, and so I think it's the feeling of the bill's sponsor, myself, interested parties, members of the committee, that we probably should move forward with this. And as Senator Cudaback mentioned, what all parties have agreed to in a meeting the other night was what we really, really need are the zoning rules and regulations and the updates thereto. And everybody agreed that the requirement that the bill originally called for that would indicate that comprehensive plans would also be collected, and notices of hearings, and on and on, that was pretty onerous. What people really need, and the most important thing that we can give them, is access to all these rules and regulations. If there isn't a central depository, then anybody who wishes information has to go to each individual county clerk. And we did talk about the cost of all of this during some of these meetings. And I think that what is envisioned by the Secretary of State's Office is eventually we will get to the point where all of these zoning regulations would be actually put into a computer site, a web site, where people could go and access that information very easily, and the Secretary of State's Office wouldn't even have to provide hard copies. It could be accessed...I mean they may still have to provide some, but for the most part people would go to this web site and get their information. So the committee amendment didn't envision exactly what the Cudaback amendment has, and in fact goes further than the Cudaback amendment. So I would like for you to, at this time, vote down the committee amendment so that then we can take up the Cudaback amendment. Originally, we were going to write the Cudaback amendment to the committee amendments, but somehow that message got lost in translation, so the amendment was drawn to the bill, that's why I have to ask you if you will please not support the committee amendments. Thank you.